

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON
TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

: Civil Action No. 3:16-md-2738-FLW-
: LHG

:
: MDL No. 2738
:
:
:
:
:
:

**STATUS REPORT
IN LIEU OF AUGUST 23, 2018 STATUS CONFERENCE**

I. SAMPLES AND TESTING

The testing of historical samples produced by Johnson & Johnson and Imerys remains ongoing. Plaintiffs' experts will substantially complete the testing of the selected samples by October 1, 2018, in accordance with the Court's scheduling order.

On August 14, 2018, the parties conferred with the Court regarding newly available Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) grids from Imerys. The TEM Grids were created by employees working in Imerys's Analytical Laboratory, and contained historical specimens of talc that was sold to Johnson & Johnson for its Baby Powder and Shower to Shower products. The PSC and counsel for plaintiffs in state court conferred and requested that Imerys make the grids available for inspection. Imerys objected and sought a protective order from the Court until such time a TEM inspection protocol could be agreed upon by the parties and an order entered by the Court. The Court granted Imerys's motion and directed the parties to maintain the status quo until such time a protocol was in place. The parties have conferred numerous times to discuss an appropriate protocol for the analysis of these TEM grids and intend to submit a proposed protocol to the Court prior to the September hearing.

II. STATUS OF DISCOVERY

A. 30 (B) (6) DEPOSITIONS

- i.** JOHNSON & JOHNSON – Five witnesses have been deposed for the Johnson & Johnson Defendants. The parties have to complete the deposition of one corporate witness, which is scheduled to continue on September 21, 2018.
- ii.** PCPC – The depositions of two witnesses for PCPC will be completed by October 2nd.
- iii.** IMERYS – One witness has been deposed for Imerys. The depositions of the remaining two witnesses will be completed by September 21st.

B. FOREIGN REGULATORY ISSUES

On August 3rd, following a call with Judge Pisano to resolve outstanding foreign regulatory issues, the Johnson & Johnson Defendants informed Judge Pisano and the PSC of the scope of foreign regulatory communications which they will investigate that occurred for the period 2005-2016 and will provide the information they identify in connection with their investigation, as described in their August 3rd email to Judge Pisano, by September 12, 2018.

C. THIRD PARTY WITNESSES

The deposition of one third-party witness is scheduled for September 25th. The PSC is in the process of scheduling and coordinating with defendants the depositions of two additional third-party witnesses and will inform Defendants when service of subpoenas has been accomplished and the witnesses are available to be deposed.

D. DOCUMENT PRODUCTION

Document production continues from Defendants. Production counts since the July 9, 2018 Status Conference are as follows:

- i.** J&J: 97,746 Documents (382,002 pages)
- ii.** Imerys: 2,492 Documents (6,229 pages)

Defendants state that the overwhelming majority of the documents produced by the J&J Defendants were collected in connection with discovery requests in state court mesothelioma cases, *not* the MDL.

III. AMENDED COMPLAINTS WITHOUT MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO AMEND OR DEFENDANTS' CONSENT

Defendants have sent the PSC a chart of amended complaints that Defendants claim were filed outside the time allowed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1), and the parties are working to address the issue in an Order to be proposed to the Court by the next status conference.

Counsel are reminded that if they seek to file an Amended Short Form Complaint outside the time allowed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1), they should either seek consent from Defendants to file an Amended Short Form Complaint, or, if Defendants do not consent, file a motion for leave to amend their Short Form Complaint with the Court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2).

IV. STATUS OF CASES RE-FILED IN THE MDL PER CMO 8

There are 33 cases where Plaintiffs who were previously part of a multi-plaintiff complaint have filed short form complaints in this MDL proceeding but have not complied with CMO 8 in either serving the short form complaint on Defendants or filing a notice of filing on the master docket. *See* CMO 8, ¶¶ 1 and 5 (requiring plaintiffs to file short form complaints pursuant to CMO 2 and to serve these complaints pursuant to CMO 3); *see also* CMO 3, ¶¶ 3 and 4 (requiring filing of an ECF notice if the original service of process was proper or requiring service of process where the original complaint was not properly served).

There are also 685 plaintiffs from multi-plaintiff cases pending in the MDL who have not filed Short Form Complaints pursuant to CMO 8. Of the 685 who have not refiled an individual single plaintiff case in the MDL, 142, have refiled in non-MDL jurisdictions. The majority of these plaintiffs have re-filed in California and New Jersey per an agreement between Defendants and the PSC. The parties will work to submit an order dismissing these duplicate filed cases in the MDL, as it has been determined that jurisdiction in the MDL is not proper in these instances. For any cases that have been refiled outside of the agreement between the PSC and Defendants, Defendants will work with plaintiffs' counsel in that particular case to get one case dismissed.

V. DUPLICATE FILED CASES

There are 91 plaintiffs in this MDL who have multiple cases pending. Despite numerous meet and confers, the parties respectfully disagree on whether dismissal of these duplicate filed cases should be with or without prejudice and would like to address this issue with the Court at the September 27, 2018 status conference.

VI. REPORT ON FEDERAL DOCKET

As of August 10, 2018:

- A. There are currently 7,737 cases pending in the MDL in which the Johnson & Johnson Defendants have been served or in which Plaintiffs from multi-plaintiff cases pending in the MDL have filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets and have not served the Johnson & Johnson Defendants (and have opened case numbers), totaling 8,385 Plaintiffs (including 620 Plaintiffs in 39 multi-plaintiff cases removed from Missouri state court that have not filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, 13 Plaintiffs in *Harders* removed from Illinois state court that have not filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, 10 Plaintiffs in *Lovato* removed from New Mexico state court that have not filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, 1 Plaintiff in *Robb* removed from Oklahoma state court that have not filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, 16 plaintiffs from the *Crenshaw* case from the Middle District of Georgia that have not filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, and 25 plaintiffs from the *Rodriguez* case from the District of Puerto Rico).

Individual Plaintiffs in the multi-plaintiff cases are in the process of filing Short Form Complaints on individual dockets. Thus far, all of the individual Plaintiffs in the following multi-plaintiff cases have filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets: *Karen Glenn, et al.* and *Mary Rea, et al.* (one *Rea* Plaintiff, Exia Monroe, a New Jersey resident, has re-filed in New Jersey state court). Additionally, all of the individual Plaintiffs in the *Charmel Rice, et al.* and *Lillie Lewis, et al.* multi-plaintiff cases have filed Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, except individual Plaintiffs Charmel Rice and Lillie Lewis.

There are six Plaintiffs named as the lead Plaintiffs in multi-plaintiff cases who did not refile Short Form Complaints on individual dockets, but filed a Short Form Complaint in their corresponding multi-plaintiff case dockets. These include the lead Plaintiffs from four multi-plaintiff cases removed from Missouri state court (*Brenda Anderson, et al.*, *Lillie Lewis, et al.*, *Charmel Rice, et al.*, and *Jerie Rhode, et al.*), Marie Robb in the *Robb* case removed from Oklahoma State, and Deborah Crenshaw from the *Crenshaw* case originally filed in the Middle District of Georgia.

- B.** There is currently one multi-plaintiff case removed from Missouri state court and pending in the Eastern District of Missouri, discussed below, that the JPML has not yet transferred into the MDL (totaling 63 plaintiffs). Motions to dismiss and a motion to remand have been filed in this case.

The case pending in the Eastern District of Missouri is listed below along with the judge to which it is assigned.

Judge Rodney W. Sippel

Cynthia Gibson, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., Case No. 4:18-cv-01100-RWS

- C.** There are a handful of single-plaintiff cases that have been on CTOs and will be transferred in the near future to the MDL. These cases would not greatly affect the number of cases pending in the MDL absent the plaintiffs in the multi-plaintiff cases.

VII. STATE COURT LITIGATION

As of August 10, 2018:

California: There are approximately 504 cases involving 558 plaintiffs pending in the California coordinated proceeding, *Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Cases*, Judicial Council Coordinated Proceeding No. 4877. These cases are assigned to Judge Maren E. Nelson, Los Angeles Superior Court. To date, a *Sargon* hearing has been held, and one case—*Echeverria*—proceeded to trial. Prior to that trial, on July 10, 2017, the court granted Imerys' motion for summary judgment,

dismissing all claims against Imerys as to the *Echeverria* case only. The *Echeverria* trial resulted in a plaintiff verdict against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants; however, on October 20, 2017, the Court granted the Johnson & Johnson Defendants' motions for judgment notwithstanding the verdict and, alternatively, for a new trial. Elisha Echeverria, Acting Trustee of the 2017 Eva Echeverria Trust, filed her Notice of Appeal on December 18, 2017. The Johnson & Johnson Defendants filed their Cross-Notice of Appeal on January 4, 2018. Appellants' Opening Brief was filed on July 18, 2018, and Respondents' and Cross-Appellants' Brief is due 60 days after the Appellant's Opening Brief was filed.

On May 3, the Court ordered the J&J Defendants to file their Motion to Quash as to the non-California Plaintiffs on personal jurisdictional grounds before ruling on Plaintiffs' request for jurisdictional discovery. Plaintiffs filed their amended master complaint on May 14, 2018. Defendants filed their motion to quash Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint on June 29, 2018. The Court further ordered that once the J&J Defendants filed their Motion to Quash the non-California Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs must submit a proposed jurisdictional "discovery plan" by July 16, 2018 "specifically outlining the discovery [Plaintiffs' Executive Committee] requires to oppose the motion(s) and how it has a tendency to show that specific jurisdiction may be exercised" over the J&J Defendants. Pursuant to the Court's order, Plaintiffs submitted their discovery plan. On August 15, 2018, also pursuant to the Court's order, Plaintiffs filed a second motion seeking jurisdictional discovery which is set for hearing on October 23, 2018. To date, general discovery (liability and causation) as well as jurisdictional discovery has not yet been ordered in the JCCP. The next status conference will be held on September 21, 2018.

Delaware: There are currently 194 cases pending in the Superior Court of Delaware in which the Johnson & Johnson Defendants have been served. All of the Delaware cases have been consolidated before the Hon. Charles E. Butler. On January 19, 2017, the Johnson and Johnson Defendants filed a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. On January 31, 2017, Plaintiffs served jurisdictional discovery. On March 2, 2017, the Johnson and Johnson Defendants filed a motion for protective order to quash the jurisdictional discovery. Briefing on the motion for protective order was completed on April 17, 2017 and is awaiting an argument date. Judge Butler ordered additional briefing from all parties on the *Bristol Myers* decision to be submitted by September 4, 2017. On December 21, 2017, Judge Butler issued an order requesting Plaintiffs respond to questions about jurisdictional discovery by January 31, 2018. Plaintiffs filed briefing in response to this request. On February 14, 2018, Judge Butler sent a letter to all counsel noting that jurisdictional discovery and personal jurisdiction are too intertwined to be treated

separately and requesting Plaintiffs submit any supplemental briefing on personal jurisdiction by March 16, 2018. On March 16, 2018, Plaintiffs submitted supplemental briefing on personal jurisdiction. Oral argument on Defendants' motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction took place on July 30, 2018. The issue is currently under submission.

Missouri: There are currently 15 cases, with a total of 698 plaintiffs pending in the 22nd Judicial Circuit Court, St. Louis (City) in which Defendants have been served.

Trial in the case of *Daniels v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.* resulted in a defense verdict on March 3, 2017 (individual claim filed in the multi-plaintiff *Valerie Swann* matter). Plaintiffs filed a motion for new trial on April 10, 2017, which is pending before the trial court.

Appeals are pending from judgments against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants and Imerys in the *Deborah Giannecchini* and *Lois Slempp* cases.

Trial in the case of *Michael Blaes on behalf of Shawn Blaes v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.* before Judge Rex Burlison is currently stayed and briefing on Defendants' petitions for writs of prohibition is pending before the Missouri Supreme Court on venue challenges. Oral argument took place on February 27, 2018. On March 2, 2018, the Missouri Supreme Court issued amended preliminary writs of prohibition ordering that Judge Burlison may proceed only with a determination of jurisdiction, but can take no other action until further order by the Missouri Supreme Court.

In the *Lois Slempp* case, that trial court found that plaintiffs had established personal jurisdiction exists in Missouri state court over the Johnson & Johnson defendants and Imerys. The *Slempp* case is on direct appeal to the Missouri Court of Appeals. The Missouri Court of Appeals denied Johnson & Johnson's writ of prohibition in the *Slempp* case.

On October 17, 2017, the Missouri Court of Appeals, Eastern District, reversed and vacated the judgment in the *Jacqueline Fox* case for lack of personal jurisdiction. The Court also rejected Plaintiff's request to remand the case to the trial court to attempt to establish jurisdictional facts. On December 19, 2017, the Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Rehearing. On March 6, 2018, the Missouri Supreme Court denied Plaintiff's requested review of the decision. On March 12, 2018, the Missouri Court of Appeals issued the mandate. On March 30, 2018, the

trial court entered an order enforcing the mandate from the appellate court that the *Fox* judgment be reversed and vacated for lack of personal jurisdiction.

On June 29, 2018, consistent with the Court's opinion and ruling in *Fox*, the Missouri Court of Appeals, Eastern District, reversed and vacated the judgment in the *Gloria Ristesund* case for lack of personal jurisdiction. Consistent with the *Fox* opinion, the Court also rejected Plaintiff's request to remand the case to the trial court to attempt to establish jurisdictional facts. On August 7, 2018, the Missouri Court of Appeals, Eastern District, denied Plaintiff's application for transfer to the Missouri Supreme Court.

The multi-plaintiff *Gail Lucille Ingham, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.* case was completed on July 12, 2018.

Another multi-plaintiff trial, *Vicki Forrest, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.*, is set to begin on January 17, 2019.

New Jersey: There are currently 461 cases pending before Judge Johnson in the Atlantic County Superior Court Multicounty Litigation, *In re: Talc-Based Powder Products Litigation*, Case No. 300. The cases are currently stayed for discovery purposes pending resolution of the plaintiffs' appeal of the ruling by Judge Johnson on the *Kemp* issues. On January 8, 2018, the New Jersey Appellate Division issued a *Sua Sponte* Order staying the appeals for six months or until the New Jersey Supreme Court decides the appeal pending in *In re: Accutane Litigation*, A-25-17, 079958, and *In re: Accutane Litigation*, A-26/27-17, 079933. Oral argument occurred in the Accutane Litigation on April 23, 2018. On August 1, 2018, the New Jersey Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's decision in the *Accutane Litigation*, and held that the *Daubert* factors are incorporated into New Jersey's Rule 702 analysis of the reliability of expert testimony. The stay has since been lifted in the *Balderrama* and *Carl* cases and the Appellate Division has requested that the parties submit supplemental briefing on certain discreet issues. The first of the briefs are due to be filed on September 13, 2018.

Florida: There are fifteen cases pending in Florida state court. There are five cases pending in Broward County, Florida, including three cases before Judge Michael A. Robinson, one case before Judge David Haimen, and one case before Judge Sandra Periman. There are three cases pending in Miami-Dade County, Florida, including one case before Judge Rodolfo Ruiz, one case before Judge Dennis Murphy, and one case before Judge Barbara Areces. There are two cases pending in Hillsborough County, Florida before Judge Rex Barbas. There is one case pending in Osceola County, Florida before Judge Margaret Schreiber. There

are two cases pending in Palm Beach County, Florida (one case before Judge Thomas Barkdull and one case before Edward A. Garrison). There is one case pending in Volusia County, Florida before Judge Christopher France. There is one case in Orange County, Florida before Judge Jose R. Rodriguez.

In the *Ricketts* matter, pending in Broward County, Imerys' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction was denied on November 9, with the Court granting 30 days for Imerys to file an appeal. That appeal was filed on December 8, 2017.

Georgia: There are seven cases pending in Georgia state court. One in Fulton County, Georgia before Judge Jane Morrison which is set for trial in March of 2019. The other six cases are pending in Gwinnet County, Georgia before Judge Shawn F. Bratton.

Illinois: There are twenty-one cases pending in Illinois. There are two cases in Madison County, Illinois state court before Judge William Mudge. There are eighteen cases pending in Cook County, Illinois; two cases before Judge Daniel T. Gillespie, one case before Judge Christopher Lawler, two cases before Judge Moira S. Johnson, one case before Judge Larry Axelrood, one case before Judge John Callahan, two cases before Judge John Ehlich, two cases before Judge Kathy Flanagan, one case before Judge William Gomolinski, one case before Judge James O'Hara, two cases before Judge Patricia O'Brien Sheahan, three cases before Judge Allen Walker, and one case before Judge John P. Callahan, Jr. There is one case pending in McLean County, Illinois before Judge Rebecca Foley.

Pennsylvania: There is one case pending in state court in Allegheny County, PA before Judge Robert Colville. On November 13, 2017, the Court granted Imerys' Preliminary Objections to personal jurisdiction in Pennsylvania and dismissed plaintiff's claims against Imerys in this case. There is one case pending in state court in Philadelphia County, PA before Judge Shelley Robins-New.

Louisiana: There are sixteen cases pending in Louisiana State Court. There are twelve in the Parish of Orleans, Louisiana, including two cases before Judge Robin M. Giarrusso, two cases before Judge Melvin Zeno, one case before Judge Paulette Irons, three cases before Judge Kern Reese, one case before Judge Piper Griffin, one case before Judge Donald Johnson, one case before Judge Christopher Bruno, and one case before Judge Rachael Johnson. There are four cases pending in East Baton Rouge Parish, one before Judge Janice Clark, one before Judge Donald Johnson, one before Judge Todd Hernandez, and one before Judge R. Michael Caldwell.

In the *McBride* matter, Imerys' Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction was granted on December 1, 2017. On January 3, 2018, the Court denied the Plaintiff's motion for a new trial on this ruling. In the *Rose Bowie* case, the Johnson and Johnson Defendants and Imerys have filed several motions, which are due to be heard on May 18, 2018, along with a motion for an expedited trial setting.

VIII. STATUS OF PENDING MOTIONS

- A.** The list of motions pending in individual cases is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- B.** On July 14, 2017, the Court issued a dismissal of the *Estrada* Consumer Class case, finding that Estrada did not allege an injury in fact. ECF Nos. 50, 51. The Court dismissed and entered judgment in Estrada's lawsuit on August 10, 2017. ECF No. 53. Estrada has appealed this decision. No other motions are pending with regard to the Consumer Class cases.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Susan M. Sharko

Susan M. Sharko
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
600 Campus Drive
Florham Park, New Jersey 07932
Telephone: 973-549-7000
Facsimile: 973-360-9831
Email: susan.sharko@dbr.com

s/Gene M. Williams

Gene M. Williams
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
JPMorgan Chase Tower
600 Travis St., Suite 3400
Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: 713-227-8008
Facsimile: 713-227-9508

Email: gmwilliams@shb.com

s/John H. Beisner

John H. Beisner
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
1440 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: 202-371-7000
Facsimile: 202-661-8301
Email: john.beisner@skadden.com

s/Mark K. Silver

Mark K. Silver
COUGHLIN DUFFY LLP
350 Mount Kemble Avenue
Morristown, NJ 07962
Telephone: 973-631-6016
Facsimile: 973-267-6442
Email: msilver@coughlinduffy.com

s/Thomas T. Locke

Thomas T. Locke
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
975 F. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: 202 463-2400
Email: tlocke@seyfarth.com

s/Michelle A. Parfitt

Michelle A. Parfitt
ASHCRAFT & GEREL, LLP
4900 Seminary Road, Suite 650
Alexandria, VA 22311
Telephone: 703-931-5500
Email: mparfitt@ashcraftlaw.com

s/P. Leigh O'Dell

P. Leigh O'Dell

BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW,
METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.
218 Commerce Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36104
Telephone: 334-269-2343
Email: leigh.odell@beasleyallen.com

s/Christopher M. Placitella
Christopher M. Placitella
COHEN PLACITELLA ROTH, PC
127 Maple Avenue
Red Bank, NJ 07701
Telephone: 888-219-3599
Facsimile: 215-567-6019
Email: cplacitella@cprlaw.com

EXHIBIT A**STATUS OF PENDING MOTIONS IN INDIVIDUAL CASES**

Case Name	Case No.	Status of Pending Motions
<i>Bernadine Moore v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-04034	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed June 28, 2017. Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Opposition filed July 28, 2017. Imerys' Opposition filed July 28, 2017.
<i>Gavin v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05907	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed August 10, 2017. Fully briefed. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/8/17. Fully briefed 10/10/17.
<i>Edna Brown v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05724	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed September 1, 2017. Fully briefed. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. Fully briefed.
<i>Carolyn Bennett v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05723	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed September 1, 2017. Fully briefed. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. Fully briefed.
<i>Maureen Abbeduto, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05812	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed September 1, 2017. Fully briefed. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. Fully briefed.

Case Name	Case No.	Status of Pending Motions
<i>Kim Knight v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05796	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed September 1, 2017. Fully briefed. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. Fully briefed.
<i>Sharon McBee, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-5720	Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed September 5, 2017. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. Fully briefed 10/13/17. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8.
<i>Donna McNichols, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-5719	Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed September 5, 2017. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. Fully briefed 10/13/17. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8.
<i>Sandra Lee, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-03548	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed September 25, 2017. Fully briefed. Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 10/24/2017. Plaintiffs' Opposition filed November 8, 2017. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 10/9/17. Fully briefed.
<i>Ruth Carver v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-03549	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed September 25, 2017. Fully briefed.

Case Name	Case No.	Status of Pending Motions
		Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed 10/24/2017. Plaintiffs' Opposition filed November 8, 2017. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 10/9/17. Fully briefed.
<i>Monica Belcher v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-3452	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Barbara Newton v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-7409	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Anne Giles, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-1158	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Wendy Creamer-Zintel v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-7366	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Vicki Foster v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-1134	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Connie Hilabrand v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-1159	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Maria Velardo, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-1154	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Tasha Martin v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-7406	Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss filed October 31, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed November 20, 2017.
<i>Rebecca Bowers v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-12308	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed December 4, 2017. Defendants' Opposition filed December 19, 2017.
<i>Peck, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-12665	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed January 11, 2018. Defendants' Opposition filed January 22, 2018.

Case Name	Case No.	Status of Pending Motions
<i>Anderson, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-2943	Plaintiff's Motion for Voluntary Dismissal without prejudice filed February 15, 2018. Defendants' opposition is due March 5, 2018.
<i>Chathapana, Davahn v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05853	Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. No opposition filed. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8.
<i>Femminella, Joan v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05860	Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. No opposition filed. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8.
<i>Glenn, Karen v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05071	Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 8/21/17. No opposition filed. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8.
<i>Guptill, Mary v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-05869	Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 9/5/17. No opposition filed. Motion to be terminated pursuant to CMO 8.
<i>Dawn Hannah v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-01422	Plaintiff's Motion to Remand filed March 5, 2018. Fully Briefed. Imerys' Motion to Dismiss filed 4/4/18. Plaintiff's Opposition filed 5/4/18. Imerys' Reply Due on 5/21/18.
<i>Callahan, Janice v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-05557	Plaintiff's Motion to Remand filed May 4, 2018. Fully briefed.
<i>Smith, Phyllis v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-05556	Plaintiff's Motion to Remand filed May 4, 2018. Fully briefed.
<i>Baker v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:17-cv-07712	Plaintiff's Motion to Remand filed June 8, 2018. Fully briefed.

Case Name	Case No.	Status of Pending Motions
<i>Cartwright, Darren v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-05535	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed July 25, 2018. Defendants' Opposition filed August 24, 2018. Plaintiffs' Reply due September 10th.
<i>Kassimali, Maureen, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-05534	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed July 25, 2018. Defendants' Opposition filed August 24, 2018. Plaintiffs' Reply due September 10th.
<i>Kehoe, Tracey, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-11509	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed July 26, 2018. Defendants' Opposition filed August 27, 2018. Plaintiffs' Reply due September 11th.
<i>Jiminez, Sandra, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.</i>	3:18-cv-12526	Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand filed August 23, 2018. Defendants' Opposition due September 24, 2018. Plaintiffs' Reply due October 9th.